

Responses to CNTPP3006\_ACTB0008008

1. **Authorization letter has been uploaded.**
2. This application was not uploaded as a LMA device. The entire Compaq/HP device was tested as a system. Test data has been provided showing compliance to the wireless specifications. The external photos for this device were provided with the original upload.
3. A new **internal photo has been uploaded** that shows the components under the shield.
4. The RoW label is for the WLAN card and is not the label for the system, which is being approved. This label should not matter and will not have Intel's FCC ID on the card. The applicant's FCC ID number is on the notebook computer. Only the MoW label should have been uploaded. A **new label file has been uploaded** that just shows the external label.
5. Again, this is not a LMA device. As stated in item 2 above, the Test Report FC03-051 contains transmitter data. As such, the transmitting component of this device will not have a FCC ID. Only the exterior portion of the system will have a FCC ID number on it.
6. A new file named “**Regulatory Safety Notes**” **has been uploaded** to show the required information.
7. The submission in question is for when the WLAN card is included in the system, and the label area available on this product for the WLAN label has been deemed to be 47 x 30mm, as can be seen in the label files previously provided. Though there is other surface area on the back of the product, most of it is on pieces that can be removed and thus not really permanently attached to the product.

The WLAN label is essentially full or allocated with various required agency markings. So the applicant is proposing, as they have on many other products, that the required 2-condition statement be placed in the in the beginning of user regulatory document (Raptor regulatory and safety.pdf file), along with other important regulatory and safety information.

8. Was FYI only. No response required.
9. Test Report FC03-051 has been revised with new test data. Amended Test Report **FC03-051A has been uploaded.**
10. Test Report FC03-051 has been revised with new test data. Amended Test Report **FC03-051A has been uploaded.**
11. Test Report FC03-051 has been revised to remove the contradicting information. Amended Test Report Test Report FC03-051 has been revised to remove the contradicting information. **FC03-051A has been uploaded.**
12. Was FYI only. No response required.
13. A new pdf version of the **SAR report has been uploaded.**
14. **File uploaded** with responses from Intel. The file name is “**Intel\_response HP\_Raptor**”. Refer to question 1(D).
15. **File uploaded** with responses from Intel. The file name is “**Intel\_response HP\_Raptor**”. Refer to question 2(E).

16. **File uploaded** with responses from Intel. The file name is “**Intel\_response HP\_Raptor**”. Refer to question 3(F).
17. The Bluetooth TX antenna is greater than 20cm from the WLAN TX antenna as documented in the information provided. Because of the separation the radios are not considered co-located and co-location testing was not performed.